

**10/554025**

**JC20 Rec'd PGT/PTO 21 OCT 2005**

# **CLEAN SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION**

JG20 REGISTRATION 21 OCT 2005

AIR/FUEL RATIO CONTROL DEVICE FOR  
INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINE

5 FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to an air-fuel ratio control apparatus for an internal combustion engine, implementing integral correction of air-fuel ratio by an  
10 integral term obtained by multiplying an integrated difference between a target and actual air-fuel ratios by an integral gain.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

15

As is well known, some internal combustion engines for vehicles or the like clean up exhaust gas using a three-way catalyst that simultaneously enhances oxidation of unburned components (HC and CO) and reduction of nitrogen oxides (NOx).  
20 In order to maintain the purification performance of such a three-way catalyst, it is necessary to combust fuel at an air-fuel ratio that is close to the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio. Therefore, an internal combustion engine equipped with a three-way catalyst performs feedback control such that the  
25 air-fuel ratio seeks the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio, while detecting an air-fuel ratio obtained based on oxygen concentration of exhaust gas.

Recently, a three-way catalyst provided with oxygen  
30 storage capacity has been commercialized. Such a three-way catalyst stores excessive oxygen when the air-fuel ratio is leaner than the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio and the oxygen concentration in exhaust gas is high, and releases the stored oxygen to compensate for shortage of oxygen when the air-fuel

ratio is richer than the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio and the oxygen concentration is low. This suitably maintains exhaust gas purification capacity for the catalyst even when the air-fuel ratio temporarily deviates from the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio. However, because of limited oxygen storage capacity of the catalyst, it is necessary to keep the quantity of oxygen stored by the catalyst in a certain range (e.g., about half of its maximum capacity) to ensure that the catalyst can store or release oxygen on a steady basis.

Therefore, control apparatuses that perform air-fuel ratio feedback by PI control or PID control have been proposed for internal combustion engines, as disclosed by, e.g., Japanese Laid-Open Patent Publication No. 9-280038. Such a control apparatus controls air-fuel ratio by an integral action on a difference detected between a target and actual air-fuel ratios. A PI control system, for example, corrects an air-fuel ratio based on a correction amount obtained using the following formula (1):

$$\text{Air-fuel ratio correction amount} = (\text{Air-fuel ratio difference}) \times (\text{Proportional gain}) + (\text{Integrated air-fuel ratio difference}) \times (\text{Integral gain}) \dots (1)$$

In the formula (1), the first term of the right-hand side  $[(\text{Air-fuel ratio difference}) \times (\text{Proportional gain})]$  is a proportional term, based on which deviation of air-fuel ratio from the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio is compensated. The second term  $[(\text{Integrated air-fuel ratio difference}) \times (\text{Integral gain})]$  is an integral term, based on which steady state deviation of the air-fuel ratio is compensated. More specifically, the integral term corrects air-fuel ratio in such a way as to equalize an integrated quantity of oxygen newly stored by a three-way catalyst with an integrated

quantity of oxygen released from the catalyst. Therefore, integral correction of air-fuel ratio stably maintains the quantity of oxygen stored by a three-way catalyst.

5           It should be noted, however, that an integral term for integral correction of air-fuel ratio is determined based on the history of air-fuel ratios irrespective of the actual intake air amount or air-fuel ratio, which may lead to erroneous air-fuel ratio correction, as described below.

10           When an internal combustion engine whose air-fuel ratio tends to greatly deviate from the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio is operating at a high intake air amount, this may cause a relatively large absolute value of the integral term. When  
15           the engine is decelerated in this state, and the intake air amount is significantly reduced, a high absolute value of the integral term recorded so far at a high load is directly applied immediately after the deceleration, possibly leading to excessive correction of the air-fuel ratio.

20           Also, when the internal combustion engine is operating at a lower load and lean air-fuel ratio after the engine has been running at a richer air-fuel ratio than the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio for an extended period, the air-  
25           fuel ratio will be corrected to be excessively lean immediately since a correction using the integral term makes the air-fuel ratio even leaner. This may lead to misfire.

30           The erroneous correction of the air-fuel ratio by an integral term can be prevented to some extent by setting the integral gain so that the absolute value of the integral term is relatively small. Setting the integral gain at a small value, however, may deteriorate air-fuel ratio feedback convergence, possibly leading to problems, e.g., deteriorated

exhaust emissions.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

5           It is an objective of the present invention to provide an air-fuel ratio control apparatus for an internal combustion engine, capable of adequately preventing erroneous air-fuel ratio correction by an integral term even if integral correction is adopted for the air-fuel ratio.

10           In order to achieve the above objective, the present invention provides an air-fuel ratio control apparatus for an internal combustion engine, implementing integral correction of the air-fuel ratio by an integral term obtained by  
15           multiplying an integrated difference between a target and actual air-fuel ratios by an integral gain, wherein the upper and lower limits of the integral term are set based on an actual intake air amount and air-fuel ratio.

20           In the present invention, the integral term is limited within a range between the upper and lower limits, which are set based on an actual intake air amount and air-fuel ratio. Therefore, the integral term is prevented from being set at an excessively high or low level which may lead to erroneous air-  
25           fuel ratio correction far removed from the realities of the intake air amount and air-fuel ratio.

          For example, the upper and lower limits may be set in such a way as to reduce the interval between them, or reduce  
30           the absolute value of each limit, as the actual intake air amount decreases. This prevents excessive correction at a low intake air amount while adequately keeping convergence of the air-fuel ratio feedback control at a high intake air amount, which tends to increase deviation of the air-fuel ratio from

its target.

Moreover, the upper and lower limits may be set in such a way to limit the air-fuel ratio correction by the integral term to the lean side as the actual air-fuel ratio is becoming leaner. This prevents the air-fuel ratio from becoming excessively lean as a result of correction by the integral term.

Limiting the integral term range by setting its upper and lower limits may lead to insufficient correction of the air-fuel ratio and deteriorated convergence of the air-fuel ratio to a target ratio, when the actual air-fuel ratio greatly deviates from the target. In such a case, convergence of feedback control of the air-fuel ratio to a target ratio can be ensured by setting the upper and lower limits in such a way as to allow larger correction of the air-fuel ratio by the integral term to the lean side as an actual air-fuel ratio is continuously leaner than a target ratio, or to allow greater correction of the air-fuel ratio by the integral term to the rich side as an actual air-fuel ratio is continuously richer than a target ratio.

Many internal combustion engines provided with a feedback control system for the air-fuel ratio depend on learning control in which a steady state deviation between actual and target air-fuel ratios, obtained based on the history of the differences, is stored as an air-fuel ratio learning value. Integral correction by an integral term may not simply converge to an actual air-fuel ratio at a target ratio, when applied to a learning control system, possibly leading to retarded learning or deteriorated learning accuracy.

It is preferable in such a case to set the upper and

lower limits until a steady state deviation is determined for learning control of the air-fuel ratio in such a way as to have a smaller interval between the upper and lower limits, or smaller absolute value of each limit than that after it is  
5 determined. Setting the upper and lower limits in this way can reduce the extent of integral correction of the air-fuel ratio until the learning of the air-fuel ratio learning value has been completed, and keep speed and accuracy of the learning air-fuel ratio at an adequate level while  
10 implementing integral correction.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 diagrammatic view showing one embodiment of an  
15 air-fuel ratio control apparatus of the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a characteristic curve showing the relationship between the air-fuel ratio and an output voltage from an air-fuel ratio sensor;

FIG. 3 is a characteristic curve showing the  
20 relationship between the air-fuel ratio and an output voltage from an oxygen sensor;

FIG. 4 is a flowchart illustrating a procedure for feedback control of the air-fuel ratio according to the same embodiment;

25 FIG. 5 is a flowchart illustrating a procedure for air-fuel ratio learning control according to the same embodiment;

FIG. 6 is a flowchart illustrating a procedure for correction rate limiting control according to the same embodiment;

30 FIG. 7 is a correction rate limiting map according to the same embodiment;

FIG. 8 is a time chart illustrating the air-fuel ratio feedback control according to the same embodiment;

FIG. 9 is a time chart illustrating the air-fuel ratio

feedback control according to the same embodiment; and

FIG. 10 is a time chart illustrating the air-fuel ratio feedback control without correction rate limiting.

## 5 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

An air-fuel ratio control apparatus according to one preferred embodiment of the present invention for an internal combustion engine will now be described by referring to the  
10 attached drawings.

FIG. 1 outlines the structure for an internal combustion engine 1 for an automobile, equipped with an air-fuel ratio control apparatus according to the present embodiment of the  
15 present invention. The internal combustion engine 1 is equipped with an intake air passage 2, combustion chambers 3 and an exhaust gas passage 4.

The intake air passage 2 of the internal combustion  
20 engine 1 is equipped with a surge tank 6 and a throttle valve 5 positioned upstream of the tank 6. Opening of the throttle valve 5 varies depending on the extent that the gas pedal is pressed downward to control the rate of intake air flowing into each combustion chamber 3 via the intake air passage 2  
25 (i.e., intake air amount  $e_{ga}$ ).

The intake air passage 2 is equipped with an intake air amount sensor 7, a throttle position sensor 8, and an intake air temperature sensor 9. The intake air amount sensor 7,  
30 positioned upstream of the throttle valve 5, senses intake air amount  $e_{ga}$ . The throttle position sensor 8 is equipped with an opening sensor which senses opening of the throttle valve 5 and an idle switch which is on when the throttle valve 5 is fully shut. The intake air temperature sensor 9 senses



temperature of the intake air (THA) flowing into the internal combustion engine 1.

The intake air passage 2 is also equipped with fuel injection valves 10, which injects fuel supplied under pressure from the fuel tank into the intake air passage 2. The injected fuel is supplied into the combustion chambers 3, after being mixed with air in the intake air passage 2.

The exhaust gas passage 4 in the internal combustion engine 1 is equipped with a three-way catalyst 20, an air-fuel ratio sensor 11, and an oxygen sensor 12. The air-fuel ratio sensor 11 is positioned in the exhaust gas passage 4 upstream of the three-way catalyst 20 and the oxygen sensor 12 is positioned in the exhaust gas passage 4 downstream of the three-way catalyst 20.

The three-way catalyst 20 exhibits its purification functions for removing carbon monoxide (CO), hydrocarbons (HCs) and nitrogen oxides (NOx) in exhaust gas most efficiently when the oxygen concentration of exhaust gas around the catalyst corresponds to an air-fuel ratio near the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio. The three-way catalyst 20 in this embodiment has an oxygen-storage capacity, adsorbing excessive oxygen when its concentration of the ambient exhaust gas is excessively high, and releasing oxygen when its concentration is excessively low to compensate for the shortage. Thus, the three-way catalyst 20 autonomously adjusts the ambient oxygen concentration to keep its exhaust gas purification functions high.

The air-fuel ratio sensor 11 produces voltage which is almost in proportion to the oxygen concentration of the exhaust gas, as shown in FIG. 2. Therefore, the actual air-

fuel ratio is detected from the output voltage of the air-fuel ratio sensor 11. On the other hand, the output voltage of the oxygen sensor 12 greatly depends on whether the air-fuel ratio is leaner or richer than the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio.

5 Thus, the output voltage of the oxygen sensor 12 indicates whether the actual air-fuel ratio is richer or leaner than the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio.

Each of the combustion chambers 3 in the cylinders of  
10 the internal combustion engine 1 is equipped with an ignition plug 14, to which an ignition voltage is applied at a necessary timing by an igniter and ignition coil.

The internal combustion engine 1 is cooled by coolant  
15 circulating through the cylinder block 1a. The coolant temperature sensor 17 provided at the cylinder block 1a senses the temperature of the coolant.

Each of the sensors, i.e., throttle position sensor 8,  
20 intake air amount sensor 7, intake air temperature sensor 9, coolant temperature sensor 17, air-fuel ratio sensor 11, and oxygen sensor 12, is connected to an electronic control unit 30 (hereinafter referred to as ECU 30). The ECU 30 is composed of a CPU, ROM, RAM, and a microcomputer with a built-  
25 in backup RAM, among others. To the ECU 30, the fuel injection valve 10 and igniter and the like are connected, in addition to the sensors.

The ECU 30 is responsible for controlling various  
30 components of the internal combustion engine 1, e.g., fuel injection valve and igniter. The air-fuel ratio control system in this embodiment is described in detail.

For the three-way catalyst 20 in this embodiment having

an oxygen-storage capacity to effectively exhibit its exhaust gas purification functions, it is necessary to keep a sufficient oxygen storage capacity while adsorbing a sufficient quantity of oxygen. The three-way catalyst 20 can store or release oxygen as required when it has sufficient capacity (e.g., about half of the maximum capacity stored in the three-way catalyst 20), while adsorbing a sufficient quantity of oxygen, to always maintain sufficient exhaust gas purification functions.

The ECU 30 in this embodiment implements feedback control for the air-fuel ratio in such a way as to keep the quantity of oxygen stored by the three-way catalyst 20 at a constant level, in order to allow the catalyst 20 to stably exhibit the exhaust gas purification functions. More specifically, the ECU 30 senses the difference between a target (i.e., theoretical) and actual air-fuel ratios from output voltage of the air-fuel ratio sensor 11, and implements feedback control of the air-fuel ratio by proportional-plus-integral action (PI action) based on the difference (i.e., PI control).

The PI control of the air-fuel ratio can be implemented by correcting the air-fuel ratio using an air-fuel ratio correction amount composed of a proportional term and an integral term, the former being an air-fuel ratio difference multiplied by a proportional gain and the latter being an integrated air-fuel ratio difference multiplied by an integral gain (refer to Formula (1)). It should be noted, however, that the integral term for the PI control is determined based on the history of air-fuel ratios irrespective of the actual intake air amount or the actual air-fuel ratio. This may lead to erroneous air-fuel ratio correction depending on the conditions, as described earlier.

The ECU 30 in this embodiment, therefore, implements the PI control of air-fuel ratio by setting the upper and lower limits of the integral term based on the actual intake air amount  $e_{ga}$  and the actual air-fuel ratio  $e_{abyf}$  to limit the value of the integral term within a range between these limits. This allows the ECU 30 to prevent the integral term from being set at an excessively high or low level which may lead to erroneous air-fuel ratio correction far removed from the realities of the intake air amount  $e_{ga}$  and the air-fuel ratio  $e_{abyf}$ .

Next, the feedback control for the air-fuel ratio in this embodiment is described in detail by referring to the flowchart shown in FIG. 4. The ECU 30 implements the routine shown in FIG. 4 by constant-angle interruption at every predetermined crank angle.

On starting the interruption processing, the ECU 30 first divides the intake air amount  $e_{ga}$  sensed by the intake air amount sensor 7 by the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio  $t_{abyf}$  (14.6) to obtain a basic injection amount  $e_{fcb}$  (Step 102).

Next, the ECU 30 determines whether or not the requirements for feedback implementation are satisfied (Step 104). For example, the ECU 30 determines that the requirements for feedback implementation are satisfied when all of the following conditions are met:

- (1) The coolant temperature is at a predetermined level or higher.
- (2) The internal combustion engine is not being started.
- (3) Fuel supply is not increasing, e.g., for starting the engine.
- (4) Output of the air-fuel ratio sensor 11 has been inverted

at least once.

(5) Fuel cutoff is not being executed.

The ECU 30, when determining that the feedback  
5 implementation requirements are not satisfied because at least  
one of the above five conditions is not met (Step 104: NO),  
implements Step 116, and then implements Step 114 after  
setting a feedback correction amount (edfi) at 0.

10 On the other hand, the ECU 30, when determining that the  
feedback implementation requirements are satisfied because all  
of the above five conditions are met (Step 104: YES),  
implements Step 106, and then Step 114 after setting a  
feedback correction amount (edfii) by the processing in Steps  
15 106 to 112.

In Step 106, the ECU 30 calculates the fuel quantity  
actually consumed for combustion (ega/eabyf), based on the  
actual intake air amount ega and the actual air-fuel ratio  
20 eabyf, sensed by the intake air amount and air-fuel ratio  
sensors 7 and 12, respectively. The ECU 30 calculates a fuel  
difference edfc by subtracting the basic injection amount efcb  
obtained in Step 102 by the fuel quantity actually consumed  
for combustion. The ECU 30 also calculates a new integrated  
25 fuel difference esdfc in Step 106 by adding the fuel  
difference edfc to the previous integrated fuel difference  
esdfc.

In subsequent Step 108, the ECU 30 calculates a  
30 proportional term edfip by multiplying the fuel difference  
edfc by a proportional gain GnFBP. The ECU 30 also calculates  
a provisional integral term t\_edfii by multiplying the  
integrated fuel difference esdfc by an integral gain GnFBI.

In subsequent Step 110, the ECU 30 calculates an integral term  $edf_{ii}$  after limiting the value of the provisional integral term  $t\_edf_{ii}$  obtained in Step 108 with a lower limit correction rate ( $efafki - t\_gddficl$ ) and upper limit correction rate ( $efafki + t\_gddficr$ ). More specifically, the ECU 30 takes an integral term  $edf_{ii}$  as the lower limit correction rate when the provisional integral term  $t\_edf_{ii}$  is below the lower limit correction rate, and the integral term  $edf_{ii}$  as the upper limit correction rate when the provisional integral term  $t\_edf_{ii}$  is above the upper limit correction rate. Moreover, the ECU 30 takes the provisional integral term  $t\_edf_{ii}$  directly as the integral term  $edf_{ii}$  when the provisional integral term  $t\_edf_{ii}$  is above the lower limit correction rate and, at the same time, below the upper limit correction rate. The upper and lower limit correction rates are set beforehand in the correction amount limiting control, described later.

In subsequent Step 112, the ECU 30 adds the integral term  $edf_{ii}$  obtained in Step 110 to the proportional term  $edf_{ip}$  obtained in Step 108, the sum being set as the feedback correction amount  $edf_i$ .

The ECU 30 sets the feedback correction amount  $edf_i$  in Step 112 or 116, and adds the feedback correction amount  $edf_i$  to the basic injection amount  $efcb$  in Step 114 to calculate a final injection amount. Then, the ECU 30 multiplies the final injection amount by a coefficient  $kinj$  and air-fuel ratio learning value  $kg$  to calculate an injector 10 energization time  $\tau$  for fuel injection. The coefficient  $kinj$  is the reciprocal of the fuel injection rate (amount of fuel injected per unit time) at the injector 10, and obtained based on fuel pressure or the like. The air-fuel ratio learning value  $kg$  is obtained in the air-fuel ratio learning control step,

described later.

5       The air-fuel ratio learning control for calculating the  
air-fuel ratio learning value  $kg$  is described by referring to  
the flowchart shown in FIG. 5. The ECU 30 implements the  
routine shown in FIG. 5 by constant-angle interruption at  
every predetermined crank angle. In this processing step, the  
ECU 30 calculates the air-fuel ratio learning value  $kg$   
individually for each of region into which the engine load is  
10   divided.

On starting the processing, the ECU 30 first determines  
whether or not requirements for air-fuel ratio learning  
implementation are satisfied (Step 120). For example, these  
15   requirements are satisfied when all of the following  
conditions are met: (1) coolant temperature is at a  
predetermined level or higher, (2) purging is not being  
implemented, (3) a load region is within a predetermined range,  
and (4) fuel cutoff is not being performed. The ECU 30, when  
20   determining that the air-fuel ratio learning implementation  
requirements are satisfied (YES), implements Step 122. When  
determining that the requirements are not satisfied (NO), the  
ECU 30 ends the current processing.

25       In Step 122, the ECU 30 determines whether or not the  
actual air-fuel ratio  $eabyf$  is sufficiently close to a target  
air-fuel ratio, i.e., stoichiometric air-fuel ratio (e.g.,  
 $14.4 \leq eabyf < 14.8$ ). The ECU 30, when determining that the  
actual air-fuel ratio  $eabyf$  converges at a level close to the  
30   stoichiometric air-fuel ratio (YES), implements Step 124.  
Otherwise (NO), the ECU 30 ends the current processing.

In Step 124, the ECU 30 determines whether or not the  
feedback control is stable, e.g., based on the feedback

correction ratio efaf, i.e., the ratio of the feedback correction amount (edfi) relative to the basic injection amount efcb. The ECU 30 determines that the air-fuel feedback control is stable, when the absolute value of the feedback correction ratio efaf is below 2%, and it is unstable when the absolute value of the feedback correction ratio efaf is 2% or more. The ECU 30, when determining that the air-fuel feedback control is stable (YES), implements Step 126. Otherwise (NO), the ECU 30 implements Step 130.

10

When the processing step proceeds to Step 130, the ECU 30 renews the air-fuel ratio learning value kg in the load region in such a way as to reduce the absolute value of the feedback correction ratio efaf, and then ends the current processing.

15

When the processing step proceeds to Step 126, on the other hand, the ECU 30 determines whether or not the air-fuel ratio feedback control has been stably working continuously for more than a predetermined time. The ECU 30, when determining that the air-fuel feedback control has been stable continuously for the predetermined time (YES), implements Step 128. Otherwise (NO), the ECU 30 ends the current processing.

20

In Step 128, the ECU 30 determines that the air-fuel ratio learning in the load region is temporarily completed and ends the current processing after storing the air-fuel ratio learning value kg and the history of completion of the learning in a backup RAM. The history is kept until data stored in the backup RAM are erased, e.g., by replacing the battery with a new one.

25

30

The correction amount limiting control is described by referring to the flowchart shown in FIG. 6. This step



calculates the lower and upper limit correction rates, which limit the value of an integral term  $edf_{ii}$  for the air-fuel ratio feedback control. The ECU 30 implements the routine shown in FIG. 5 by constant-angle interruption at every  
5 predetermined crank angle.

When this processing starts, the ECU 30 first determines whether or not requirements for feedback implementation are satisfied (Step 140). This determination is implemented in a  
10 manner similar to that for the air-fuel ratio feedback control in Step 104, illustrated in FIG. 4. The ECU 30, when determining that the implementation requirements are satisfied (YES), implements Step 142. Otherwise (NO), it implements Step 156, where it sets a basic correction rate  $efaf_{ki}$  at 0  
15 before temporarily stopping the processing routine.

In Step 142, on the other hand, the ECU 30 determines whether an actual air-fuel ratio  $eab_{yf}$  is at the stoichiometric level or richer or leaner than this level. The  
20 ECU 30, when determining that the air-fuel ratio  $eab_{yf}$  is richer than the stoichiometric level, implements Step 144 to subtract from the basic correction rate  $efaf_{ki}$  a correction rate difference  $\Delta k_i$ , and then implements Step 148. When determining that the air-fuel ratio  $eab_{yf}$  is leaner than the  
25 stoichiometric level, on the other hand, the ECU 30 implements Step 146 to add a correction rate difference  $\Delta k_i$  to the basic correction rate  $efaf_{ki}$ , and then implements Step 148. When determining that the air-fuel feedback control is at the stoichiometric level, the ECU 30 implements Step 148 directly  
30 with the basic correction rate  $efaf_{ki}$  as it is.

The value for the correction rate difference  $\Delta k_i$  is set according to the magnitude of the intake air amount  $ega$ . More specifically, it is set in such a way as to increase as the

intake air amount  $\text{ega}$  increases. Therefore, the larger the intake air amount  $\text{ega}$  is, the greater the basic correction rate is changed.

5           The basic correction rate  $\text{efafki}$  is a fuel injection correction rate serving as a standard, based on which of the upper and lower limits of an integral term  $\text{edfii}$  are set. The basic correction rate  $\text{efafki}$  is determined based on the history of air-fuel ratios, as described earlier. More  
10 specifically, the basic correction rate  $\text{efafki}$  is gradually varied to reduce the fuel injection amount when the actual air-fuel ratio  $\text{eabyf}$  is continuously richer than the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio, and to increase the fuel  
15 injection amount when the ratio  $\text{eabyf}$  is continuously leaner than the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio, in order to correct the fuel injection amount.

          In Step 148, the ECU 30 calculates a decrease limiting value  $\text{t\_gddficl}$  and an increase limiting value  $\text{t\_gddficr}$  in  
20 accordance with the magnitudes of the actual air-fuel ratio  $\text{eabyf}$  and intake air amount  $\text{ega}$  by referring to the map given in FIG. 7. As illustrated in FIG. 7, both a decrease in the limiting value  $\text{t\_gddficl}$  and an increase in the limiting value  $\text{t\_gddficr}$  are set in such a way as to converge to 0 as the  
25 intake air amount decreases.

          In Step 150, the ECU 30 determines whether or not there is an air-fuel ratio learning history in an actual load region. When determining that there is no air-fuel ratio learning  
30 history (NO), the ECU 30 implements Step 152 and then Step 154. Otherwise (YES), the ECU 30 directly implements S154 bypassing Step 152.

          In Step 152, the ECU 30 changes the decrease limiting

value  $t\_gddficl$  or the increase limiting value  $t\_gddficr$  to a level close to 0, irrespective of the intake air amount or the air-fuel ratio (as indicated by the broken line shown in FIG. 7).

5

In Step 154, the ECU 30 sets upper and lower limits (upper and lower correction rates, respectively) for a reduced correction rate for the integral term  $edfii$ , the former being the basic correction rate  $efafki$  added with the increase  
10 limiting value  $t\_gddficr$ , and the latter being the basic correction rate  $efafki$  subtracted by the decrease limiting value  $t\_gddficl$ . The reduced correction rate for the integral term  $edfii$  means the integral term  $efafki$  divided by the basic injection amount  $efcb$ . Then, the ECU 30 temporarily stops the  
15 processing routine.

The correction amount limiting control described above sets upper and lower limits for the integral term  $edfii$  (more strictly, a reduced correction rate for the integral term  
20  $edfii$ ) based on the basic correction rate  $efafki$  and the increase limiting value  $t\_gddficr$  or the decrease limiting value  $t\_gddficl$ . The increase limiting value  $t\_gddficr$  and decrease limiting value  $t\_gddficl$  are set by the actual intake air amount  $ega$  and the actual air-fuel ratio  $eabyf$ ,  
25 respectively. In this embodiment, therefore, the integral term  $edfii$  is limited within the upper and lower limits determined in accordance with the actual intake air amount  $ega$  and the actual air-fuel ratio  $eabyf$ . This limitation prevents an integral term from being set at an excessively high or low  
30 level which may lead to erroneous air-fuel ratio correction removed from the realities of the intake air amount  $ega$  and the actual air-fuel ratio  $eabyf$ .

More specifically, the increase limiting value  $t\_gddficr$

and the decrease limiting value  $t_{gddficl}$  are set in such a way as to reduce the interval between the upper and lower limits of the integral term  $edfii$ , or reduce the absolute value of each limit, as the actual intake air amount  $ega$  decreases. This prevents excessive correction at a low intake air amount while adequately maintaining convergence for the air-fuel ratio feedback control at a high intake air amount, which tends to increase deviation of the air-fuel ratio from its target.

Moreover, the increase limiting value  $t_{gddficr}$  and the decrease limiting value  $t_{gddficl}$  are set in such a way as to limit the actual air-fuel ratio  $eabyf$  when it is lean, i.e., in such a way as to limit the air-fuel ratio correction by the integral term  $edfii$  to the lean side. This prevents the air-fuel ratio from becoming excessively lean as a result of correction by the integral term  $edfii$ .

It should be noted that simply limiting the range for the integral term  $edfii$  by setting the upper and lower limits may deteriorate convergence of the air-fuel ratio  $eabyf$  to a target ratio when there is a significant difference between them, because of insufficient air-fuel ratio correction. In this regard, this embodiment varies the basic correction rate  $efafki$  in accordance with the actual air-fuel ratio  $eabyf$ , as illustrated in FIG. 8. More specifically, it sets the upper and lower limits of the integral term  $edfii$  in such a way as to allow larger correction of the air-fuel ratio to the lean side as the actual air-fuel ratio  $eabyf$  is continuously leaner than the target ratio, or to allow larger correction of the air-fuel ratio by the integral term to the rich side as the actual air-fuel ratio is continuously richer than the target ratio. This secures convergence of the air-fuel ratio feedback control to the target ratio.

On the other hand, this embodiment implements the air-fuel ratio learning control with a stored air-fuel ratio learning value  $kg$ , which is a steady state deviation between the actual air-fuel ratio  $eabyf$  and the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio, obtained from the history of the differences in the air-fuel ratio feedback control. The air-fuel ratio may not be simply converged to the target air-fuel ratio depending on the transition of the actual air-fuel ratio  $eabyf$  to that point. This possibly leads to retarded learning or deteriorated learning accuracy.

In this regard, this embodiment sets the increase limiting value  $t\_gddficr$  and the decrease limiting value  $t\_gddficl$  in such a way as to reduce the interval between the upper and lower limits of the integral term  $edfii$ , or reduce the absolute value of each limit, until completion of a steady state deviation calculation, i.e., the air-fuel ratio learning value  $kg$  calculation, in the air-fuel ratio learning control. This controls integral correction of the air-fuel ratio to a relatively limited extent before completion of learning with the air-fuel ratio learning value  $kg$  to suitably maintain learning speed and accuracy.

FIG. 9 shows the actual air-fuel ratio  $eabyf$  and the feedback correction ratio  $efaf$  (i.e., the feedback correction amount  $edfi$  divided by the basic injection amount  $efcb$ ) changing with time. As described above, this embodiment sets the upper and lower limits in such a way as to keep the integral term  $edfii$  at a value close to 0 until completion of the air-fuel ratio learning value  $kg$  calculation, as a result of which the air-fuel ratio feedback control is mainly implemented by the proportional correction, with essentially no integral correction. Therefore, the actual air-fuel ratio

eabyf promptly converges to a value close to the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio, as illustrated in FIG. 9, to also promptly complete the air-fuel ratio learning control.

5           On the other hand, FIG. 10 shows the actual air-fuel ratio eabyf and the feedback correction ratio efaf changing with time similar to the learning control as with the air-fuel ratio learning value kg but without limiting the range of the integral term edfii by the upper and lower limits. Integral  
10   correction of the air-fuel ratio without limiting range of the integral term causes retarded learning and deteriorated learning accuracy, resulting from deteriorated convergence and instability of the actual air-fuel ratio eabyf, as illustrated in FIG. 10.

15

The following modifications of the above embodiment are also within the scope of the present invention.

- Step 152 described above sets the increase limiting  
20   value t\_gddficr and the decrease limiting value t\_gddficl in the case of no history for air-fuel ratio learning. In one modification, these values may be set at 0 (cleared). This fixes the integral term edfii at the basic correction rate efafki to further limit integral correction of the air-fuel  
25   ratio, thereby further reducing retarded learning or deteriorated learning accuracy with the air-fuel ratio learning value kg,, which may result from integral correction.

- Steps 150 and 152 in the above embodiment, which  
30   implement correction amount limiting control, may be skipped, when an adverse effect of integral correction of air-fuel ratio on learning time or accuracy is negligible, e.g., when no air-fuel ratio learning control is implemented.

- The above embodiment varies the basic correction rate  $efafki$  in accordance with the history of the actual air-fuel ratios  $eabyf$ . However, the basic correction rate  $efafki$  may be set at a fixed value, e.g., 0. The range of the integral term  $edfii$  is limited also in this case in accordance with the actual intake air amount  $ega$  and the actual air-fuel ratio  $eabyf$ . Therefore, this modification prevents erroneous air-fuel correction, removed from the realities of actual intake air amount  $ega$  and the actual air-fuel ratio  $eabyf$ .

10

- Step 112 for finding the feedback correction amount  $edfi$ , illustrated in FIG. 4, may be modified to add a derivative action, i.e., to implement proportional plus integral plus derivative (PID) action, where a derivative term, which is a product of a derivative of fuel difference and a derivative gain, is additionally included in the feedback correction amount  $edfi$ .

15

- The present invention is applicable to various internal combustion engines, not limited to the port injection type illustrated in FIG. 1, with fuel injected into an air intake port. For example, it is applicable to a cylinder injection type with fuel directly injected into a cylinder.

20

CLAIMS:

1. An air-fuel ratio control apparatus for an internal combustion engine, the apparatus implementing integral  
5 correction of the air-fuel ratio with an integral term, the integral term being obtained by multiplying an integrated difference between a target air-fuel ratio and the actual air-fuel ratio by an integral gain, the apparatus being  
10 characterized in that an upper limit value and a lower limit value of the integral term are set based on an actual intake air amount and an actual air-fuel ratio.

2. The air-fuel ratio control apparatus for an internal combustion engine according to Claim 1, wherein the upper and  
15 lower limit values are set in such a way to reduce the interval between the limit values as the actual intake air amount decreases.

3. The air-fuel ratio control apparatus for an internal combustion engine according to Claim 1, wherein the upper and  
20 lower limit values are set in such a way to reduce the absolute value of each limit value as the actual intake air amount decreases.

25 4. The air-fuel ratio control apparatus for an internal combustion engine according to any one of Claims 1 to 3, wherein the upper and lower limit values are set in such a way that air-fuel ratio correction with the integral term to the lean side is limited as the actual air-fuel ratio becomes  
30 leaner.

5. The air-fuel ratio control apparatus for an internal combustion engine according to any one of Claims 1 to 4, wherein the upper and lower limit values are set in such a way



to allow larger correction of the air-fuel ratio with the integral term to the lean side as the actual air-fuel ratio remains leaner than the target ratio for a longer period.

5     6.     The air-fuel ratio control apparatus for an internal combustion engine according to any one of Claims 1 to 4, wherein the upper and lower limit values are set in such a way to allow larger correction of air-fuel ratio with the integral term to the rich side as the actual air-fuel ratio remains  
10     richer than the target ratio for a longer period.

7.     The air-fuel ratio control apparatus for an internal combustion engine according to any one of Claims 1 to 6, wherein air-fuel ratio learning control is implemented, in  
15     which a steady state deviation between the actual air-fuel ratio and the target air-fuel ratio is computed based on a history of difference between the air-fuel ratios, and the computed steady state deviation is stored as a learning value, and wherein, until the computation of the steady state  
20     deviation is completed, the upper and lower limit values are set in such a way to have a smaller interval between the limit values than that after the computation of the steady state deviation is completed.

25     8.     The air-fuel ratio control apparatus for an internal combustion engine according to any one of Claims 1 to 6, wherein air-fuel ratio learning control is implemented, in which a steady state deviation between the actual air-fuel ratio and the target air-fuel ratio is computed based on a  
30     history of difference between the air-fuel ratios, and the computed steady state deviation is stored as a learning value, and wherein, until the computation of the steady state deviation is completed, the upper and lower limits are set in such a way to each have a smaller absolute value than that

after the computation of the steady state deviation is completed.